



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/674,738

09/30/2003

Tony T. Quach

66329/31274

6724

23380 7590 03/17/2008  
TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP  
1150 HUNTINGTON BUILDING  
925 EUCLID AVENUE  
CLEVELAND, OH 44115-1414

EXAMINER

HANG, VU B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2625

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/17/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@tuckerellis.com  
mary.erne@tuckerellis.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/674,738	<b>Applicant(s)</b> QUACH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> VU B. HANG	<b>Art Unit</b> 2625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) VU B. HANG. (3) JOHN GARRED.

(2) TWYLER HASKINS. (4) SUSAN MIZERS.

Date of Interview: 04 March 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Collins et al. (US Patent 5,781,714) and Patel et al. (US Patent 6,426,751 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representatives discussed the invention and the proposed claim amendment. The representatives agreed to clarify the claim language to overcome the 112 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph rejection of Claims 1, 13, 16 and 38. The examiner will conduct further search to determine the allowability of the application when a formal response is received.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Twyler L. Haskins/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required